

Note

Solubilization of cellulose and other plant structural polysaccharides in 4-methylmorpholine *N*-oxide: an improved method for the study of cell-wall constituents

JEAN-PAUL JOSELEAU, GERARD CHAMBAT AND BERNABE CHUMPITAZI-HERMOZA

Centre de Recherches sur les Macromolécules Végétales, Laboratoire propre du C N R S, associé à l'Université Scientifique et Médicale de Grenoble, 53X 38041 Grenoble cedex (France)

(Received June 10th, 1980, accepted for publication in revised form, August 8th, 1980)

The cell walls of plants act as supporting structures and as barriers to pathogens. Knowledge of the nature of their macromolecular constituents is, therefore, important and although many structural features of the different polysaccharides present in the plant cell-wall are known, their interrelation and mode of attachment remains to be determined with precision¹. A major difficulty in studying the interconnection between the polysaccharides arises from their insolubility. In order to study their structures they have to be extracted, and conventional chemical-extraction procedures almost always involve the cleavage of covalent bonds and often alter the structures. The problem is especially acute with cellulose, the main constituent of the plant cell-wall, because of its insolubility in most common solvents. We have used a new biopolymer solvent for the solubilization of several polysaccharides, including mixtures of polymers of known structure selected as model compounds.

The efficacy of the solvent enabled us to solubilize entire cell walls. The solvent, 4-methylmorpholine *N*-oxide (MMNO), in its various forms of hydration, has been demonstrated to be a good solvent for cellulose^{2,3}. Its use in physical studies on cellulose, for crystallization³ or for n.m.r. spectroscopy⁴, shows that it acts as a real solvent and not as a derivatizing reagent. For this reason, we used this solvent for the methylation of polysaccharides which, because of their lack of solubility in pure dimethyl sulfoxide (Me₂SO), were difficult to methylate⁵. In this Note, we report the use of MMNO–Me₂SO as a non-degradative solvent, and its interest for structural studies on mixtures of polysaccharides.

Several samples of cellulose from different origins and having various average degrees of polymerization (d.p.) were solubilized in MMNO. The samples were first dissolved in MMNO monohydrate at 120° and then diluted with Me₂SO (ref. 3) to give a final concentration of 0.7%. Cellulose samples of increasing d.p. were selected and dissolved in the same manner. Cellulose dissolving-pulp (d.p. 600) and purified cotton linters (d.p. 2700) gave the expected solutions^{3,4} for cellulose of such

values. The increase in degree of polymerization had no consequence on the ease of solubilization, as shown by a bacterial cellulose produced by *Acetobacter xylinum* [d p. ≈ 3000 (ref 6)] or the crude cellulose of untreated, native, cotton hair [d p $\approx 14\,000$ (ref 7)] from *Gossypium hirsutum*.

During exhaustive dialysis against water, cellulose precipitated in the dialysis bag. In each case, the recovery of cellulose after freeze-drying was nearly quantitative (85% for cotton linters, 90% for native cotton hair, and 98% for bacterial cellulose).

The small weight loss observed upon recovery of the polysaccharides after treatment with MMNO demonstrates that the solvent action does not involve degradation from the reducing end by a recurrent stepwise elimination in a "peeling" type of mechanism, which would have caused noticeable loss of material.

When the recovered, lyophilized samples were subjected to acid hydrolysis and analyzed by gas-liquid chromatography in the presence of an internal standard for quantitation of the glucose content, yields of $\sim 100\%$ were obtained. From this result, it can be deduced that, under our conditions for solubilization of the different cellulose samples, no significant modification by oxidation or degradation of the constituent glucose residues occurred during the time of exposure to the solubilizing agents at high temperature. Similar results were obtained for solubilized samples of bacterial and cotton celluloses that had been kept in the solvent mixture for 6 months at room temperature in a desiccator. Further evidence for the stability of the cellulose samples in the presence of MMNO was obtained by comparing the viscosity, measured by use of cupriethylenediamine, of the samples before solubilization and after recovery from the solution. The result showed a decrease of d p of $\sim 50\%$, which was also confirmed by methylation analysis of the cotton linters. As there was no loss of D-glucose, this decrease in chain length does not correspond to significant endwise degradation, but rather to random chain-scission. The reason for this scission is unknown.

Two bacterial polysaccharides of known structure were used as model compounds to investigate the possible chemical action of MMNO on acidic polysaccharides. Capsular K-antigens from *Klebsiella* serotypes K-41 (ref 8) and K-63 (ref 9) were solubilized under the same conditions as used for cellulose. Serotype K-41, which has a repeating unit composed L-rhamnose, D-galactose, D-glucose, and D-glucuronic acid in 1:2:3:1 molar ratio, readily went into solution. Serotype K-63, containing L-fucose, D-galactose, and D-galacturonic acid in 1:1:1 molar proportion, was more difficult to solubilize and necessitated longer treatment at 120° in MMNO monohydrate for dissolution. It seems that the higher uronic acid content in K-63 is responsible for its lower solubility in the solvent mixture. It was noted earlier⁹ that K-63 was not soluble in Me_2SO . From the recovered yields of polysaccharides and analysis of their sugar constituents, it was established that MMNO has no deleterious effect on the polymers.

Because of our interest in plant cell-wall polysaccharides, we evaluated the solvent on a mixture of a hemicellulose and a pectic polymer. The hemicellulose, L-arabino-4-O-methyl-D-glucurono-D-xylan from wheat straw, and commercial D-

TABLE I

COMPARATIVE DATA^a FOR ONI-STLP DIKOMORI METHYLATIONS OF VARIOUS CELLULOSE SAMPLES IN Me₂SO AND MMNO-Me₂SO

Methylated derivative	Dissolving pulp d p 600		Cotton linters d p 2700		Bacterial cellulose (Acetobacter xylinum)		Native cotton (Gossypium hirsutum)	
	Me ₂ SO	MMNO-Me ₂ SO	Me ₂ SO	MMNO-Me ₂ SO	Me ₂ SO	MMNO-Me ₂ SO	Me ₂ SO	MMNO-Me ₂ SO
2,3,4,6 Glc ^b	0.4	1.2		0.1				
2,3,6 Glc	90	81	76	81	11	56	3	69.5
2,6 Glc ^c	2	2	6	2.5	6	11	0.5	7
3,6 Glc ^c	1.5	4.5	2.5	2	5	13	trace	6
2,3-Glc ^c	2.5	5.5	8	8.5	13	12	2	9
2,3-Xyl	1	1.5	1	2				
Glc ^c	1.5	3.2	5.5	3	63	8	94.5	8.5

^aResults are expressed as percent of glucose derivatives identified. Extraneous peaks were also present. ^b2,3,4,6 Glc = 1,5 di-O acetyl-2,3,4,6 tetra-O methyl D-glucitol, etc. ^cThe proportion of these derivatives decreased with additional methylation steps.

galacturonan were treated in the same manner as for cellulose. The galacturonan did not dissolve completely. This insolubility is certainly attributable to the high uronic acid content as observed for antigen K-63. Here again, the recovery was quantitative and the analytical data for the polysaccharides were totally unchanged.

In order to apply this solubilization procedure to the structural investigation of polysaccharides from bacterial and plant sources, it was important for the solvent to be compatible with the derivatization of polysaccharides and, in particular, with permethylation, which is of basic interest for this study. All of the aforementioned samples were subjected to methylation by the Hakomori procedure¹⁰ in a single step, in Me₂SO only, or in solution in the MMNO-Me₂SO system. The efficiency of the methylation conditions was estimated from the yields of the expected 2,3,6-tri-*O*-methylglucose derivative obtained after hydrolysis and g l c analysis. The results are compared in Table I, and show that, for the samples known to be insoluble under the Hakomori conditions (as with the cellulosic materials) the efficiency of the methylation in a single step, as judged by the proportion of 2,3,6-tri-*O*-methylglucose, was considerably enhanced for the cellulose of higher d p. Remarkably, such high d p values correspond also to higher degrees of crystallinity¹¹. It is clear that the MMNO-Me₂SO solvent completely breaks down the crystalline texture of cellulose, thereby increasing accessibility towards the methylating reagents. It may also be observed that MMNO does not impede the methylation reaction at all. Other derivatizations of polysaccharides may be achieved in this solvent, as shown by carboxyl reduction of D-galacturonan by the carbonyl procedure of Taylor and Conrad¹⁵, which could be performed in one step on the foregoing mixture of xylan and galacturonan. When performed in water, the complete reduction of D-galacturonan necessitated three successive treatments. Various derivatization reactions of cotton linters have been reported previously, such as acetylation or propionylation, in the presence of MMNO².

MMNO-Me₂SO thus seems to be a useful solvent-mixture for biological carbohydrate polymers, especially those whose high degree of crystallinity renders them insoluble in conventional solvents. Under the conditions described in this paper, we could solubilize the entire cell-wall of suspension-cultured cells of *Rosa glauca*¹³. From the solution, very mild separation and purification of homogeneous polysaccharide fractions could be effected for structural studies¹³. We consider that this solvent system has utility for the study of cell-wall components, as the problems associated with solubilization of cell-wall polymers is considered a major impediment to progress in this field.

EXPERIMENTAL

Polysaccharide samples — Cell walls of suspension-cultured cells of *Rosa glauca* were prepared as previously described¹². Cellulose from *Acetobacter xylinum* was prepared as in ref. 14. Three cellulose samples having various d p values were used: cellulose dissolving-pulp (d p = 600), linters powder d p 2700 (Schleicher and

Schull) and native cotton-hair from *Gossypium hirsutum*. The K-antigens from *Klebsiella* have been described^{8,9}

Preparation of solutions — The polysaccharides (0.1 g) in MMNO monohydrate³ (2.5 g) were heated for 30 min at 120° in a tightly stoppered tube under nitrogen. After complete solubilization of the samples, Me₂SO (10 mL) was added and the mixtures were cooled to room temperature. All samples were dissolved in the same manner. One-half of each polysaccharide solution was directly subjected to methylation, and the analytical results were compared with those obtained for the same sample methylated in Me₂SO (Table I). The other half of each fraction was dialyzed against water, and recovered the yield of the polysaccharides; their sugar constituents were determined.

Hydrolysis — A portion of each solution was exhaustively dialyzed against distilled water, and lyophilized. To a known amount of the material, *m*-inositol was added as an internal standard. The mixture was hydrolyzed in 72% sulfuric acid for 30 min at room temperature and then diluted to 0.5M and heated for 6 h at 100° (ref. 12). Sugar analysis was performed by glc of the alditol acetate derivatives as in ref. 12.

Methylation — All of the polysaccharides were methylated by the Hakomori procedure¹⁰ in two different media: firstly in Me₂SO (ref. 10) and secondly in MMNO–Me₂SO with the reagents of ref. 10, in a single step. The methylated products were recovered by dialysis and freeze-drying, and were hydrolyzed. Hydrolyses were performed as described in ref. 12 for the cellulosic polymers and in 90% formic acid and 2M trifluoroacetic acid for polysaccharides K-41 and K-63 (refs. 8 and 9). The products were analyzed as in ref. 8.

Carboxyl reduction — Hemicellulose (40 mg) and D-galacturonan (40 mg) were dissolved as before in MMNO–Me₂SO solution (12 mL). Water (12 mL) was added, and reduction was effected directly by the procedure of Taylor and Conrad¹⁵. After dialysis and freeze-drying of the mixture, analytical data for the polysaccharides were obtained.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are grateful to Dr. Henri Chanzy for helpful discussions and suggestions, and to Dr. A. Peguy for advice on the preparation of the solutions.

REFERENCES

1. M. McNEIL, A. G. DARVILL, AND P. ALBERSHEIM, *Progr. Org. Chem. Nat. Prod.*, **37** (1979) 191–249.
2. D. L. JOHNSON, Brit. Pat. 1,144,048 (1969), *Chem. Abstr.*, **70** (1969) 106537i.
3. H. CHANZY, M. DUBÉ, AND R. H. MARCHESSAULT, *J. Polym. Sci., Polym. Lett.*, **17** (1979) 219–226.
4. D. GAGNAIRE, D. MANCIER, AND M. VINCENDON, *J. Polym. Sci., Polym. Lett.*, **18** (1980) 13–25.
5. M. A. O'NEILL AND R. R. SELVENDRAN, *Carbohydr. Res.*, **79** (1980) 115–124.
6. M. MARY-FIGINI AND B. PIGNON, *Makromol. Chem.*, **177** (1976) 1013–1020.
7. M. MARY-FIGINI, *J. Polym. Sci., Part C*, **28** (1969) 57–67.
8. J.-P. JOSELEAU, M. LAPEYRE, M. VIGNON, AND G. G. S. DUTTON, *Carbohydr. Res.*, **67** (1978) 197–212.

- 9 J-P JOSELEAU AND M F MARAIS, *Carbohydr Res*, 77 (1979) 183-190
- 10 S-I HAKOMORI, *J Biochem (Tokyo)*, 55 (1964) 205-208
- 11 A K KULSHRESHTHA AND N E DWELTZ, *J Polym Sci*, 11 (1973) 487-497
- 12 G CHAMBAT, J-P JOSELEAU, AND F BARNOUD, *Phytochemistry* (1980) in press
- 13 G CHAMBAT AND J-P JOSELEAU, *Carbohydr Res*, 85 (1980) c10-c12
- 14 S HESTRIN, *Methods Carbohydr Chem*, 3 (1963) 4-9
- 15 R L TAYLOR AND H E CONRAD, *Biochemistry*, 11 (1972) 1383-1388